



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/742,809	1:	2/19/2000	Mohammad Faisal	ORCL.P0073	7301	
23349	7590	10/03/2002				
		SEN & ADELI	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 51860 PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ABEL-JALIL	ABEL-JALIL, NEVEEN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2175		
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AD

		yu
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/742,809	FAISAL, MOHAMMAD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	on	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
		ters prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by tl	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed o	n is: a) approved b) d	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	red in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	pplication No
application from the Internati	the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action f		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		(a) (a) (a)
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Attachment(s)	, 	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	9-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract contains "is disclosed" on line 2. The recitation "is disclosed" should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wical (U.S. Patent No. 5,953,718).

As to claim 1, <u>Wical</u> discloses a method for generating cross-references among categories in a knowledge base (See column 16, lines 54-57), said method comprising the steps of:

extracting, from a plurality of documents, a plurality of themes, wherein a theme identifies subject matter contained in a corresponding document (See figure 2, 300);

generating a plurality of scores (See figure 2, 310) such that each score identifies a relative theme strength (See figure 2, 320, also see column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows Theme Strength) among theme pairs (See column 10, lines 30-50, wherein theme pairs are (i.e., Bob and Bill)) of said themes extracted from said documents (See column 4, line 6), said theme strength

Art Unit: 2175

reflects the amount of subject matter contained in a document for a corresponding theme relative to other themes in said document (See column 7, lines 32-34);

selecting theme pairs based on said scores (See column 7, lines 56-58);

selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 4, line 46, and also see column 23, lines 46-48) by mapping said themes of said theme pairs selected to corresponding categories of said knowledge base (See column 4, lines 65-66, also see column 22, lines 49-52); and

generating a cross reference in said knowledge base between categories of said category pairs (See column 16, lines 54-57), wherein said cross reference identifies an association between said category pairs (See column 4, lines 26-28).

As to claim 2, <u>Wical</u> discloses the method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the step of generating a plurality of scores (See column 6, lines 32-33) comprising the steps of:

generating a matrix comprising a plurality of columns and rows to form a plurality of entries, wherein each column represents one of said themes and each row represents one of said themes (See figure 3, wherein "column represents one of said themes" reads on "Documents contains theme", and figure 8); and

generating a score for at least a subset of said entries of said matrix (See column 6, lines 32-34), such that a score reflects a relative theme strength between two themes represented by said entry for said documents (See column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows Theme Strength).

As to claim 3, Wical discloses the method as set forth in claim 2, wherein:

Art Unit: 2175

the step of extracting a plurality of themes further comprises the step of generating theme strengths for each theme extracted (See column 4, lines 59-62); and the step of generating a score (See column 6, lines 32-34) for at least a subset of said entries of said matrix (See figure 3, wherein "column represents one of said themes" reads on "Documents contains theme", and wherein "score" reads on cumulative No. of "X"'s, also see figure 8) comprises the steps of:

calculating a plurality of products for an entry by multiplying theme strengths
(See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Total Theme Weight) corresponding to two themes
represented by said entry (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows # of Query Terms) for each
document (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Document100) that includes said two
themes represented by said entry; and

summing said products for an entry to generate said score (See column 11, lines 33-34, and column 11, lines, 5-15, table 4, shows Total Score).

As to claim 4, <u>Wical</u> discloses the method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the step of selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 22, lines 49-52) comprises the steps of:

determining whether only one of said themes exist as a category in said knowledge base (See column 7, lines 38-40, also see column 23, lines 46-48);

if so,

generating a new category in said knowledge base for said theme (See column 24, lines 1-4);

Art Unit: 2175

generating a new cross-reference relationship between said new category and a category for which one of said themes exist (See column 24, lines 4-6, wherein "category ...exist" reads on "pre-defined categories"); and generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, 450, wherein "new score" reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9).

As to claim 5, <u>Wical</u> discloses the method as set forth in claim 1, wherein the step of selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 22, lines 49-52) comprises the steps of:

determining whether both of said themes exist as categories in said knowledge base (See column 23, lines 46-48, also see column 7, lines 38-40); if so,

determining whether a cross reference relationship exists from said category pair (See column 17, lines 16-18, also see figure 6);

if not,

generating a new cross-reference relationship between said category pair (See column 17, lines 48-49, wherein "new cross-reference relationship" reads on "links is generated through processing documents");

generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, 450, wherein "new score" reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9); and if so, generating a new score for said existing cross-reference relationship (See column 8, lines 58-60, wherein "existing cross-reference" reads on "Common theme and the additional theme").

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 6, Wical discloses a system comprising:

search and retrieval module (See figure 1, search and retrieval system 100) for receiving a user query (See figure 1, shows User Query Arrow) and for generating a query response (See column 4, lines 2-4) including query feedback (See figure 1, shows Query Processing 165);

a knowledge base (See Figure 1, 155), coupled to said search and retrieval module (See figure 1, search and retrieval system 100), for storing relationships among terminology for use as query feedback (See column 3, lines 40-43);

a knowledge base processing system (See Figure 1, Learning Processing 165), coupled to said knowledge base (See Figure 1, Knowledge base 155) for processing a plurality of documents and automatically extending said relationships among said terminology in said knowledge base (See column 16, lines 54-56), said knowledge base processing system for extracting, from said documents, a plurality of themes (See figure 2, 300), wherein a theme identifies subject matter contained in a corresponding document (See column 4, lines 2-4), for generating a plurality of scores (See figure 2, 310) such that each score identifies a relative theme strength among theme pairs of said themes extracted from said documents (See column 7, lines 56-57), said theme strength reflects the amount of subject matter contained in a document for a corresponding theme relative to other themes in said document (See column 7, lines 31-34), for selecting theme pairs based on said scores (See column 8, lines 2-5), for selecting category pairs in said knowledge base by mapping said themes of said theme pairs selected to corresponding categories of said knowledge base (See column 7, lines 38-40, also see column 22, lines 49-52), and for generating a cross reference in said knowledge base between categories

Art Unit: 2175

of said category pairs (See column 16, lines 54-57), wherein said cross reference identifies an association between said category pairs (See column 17, lines 9-11).

As to claim 7, <u>Wical</u> discloses the system as set forth in claim 6, wherein the knowledge base processing system (See figure 1, search and retrieval system 100) further for generating a matrix comprising a plurality of columns and rows to form a plurality of entries, wherein each column represents one of said themes and each row represents one of said themes (See figure 3, wherein "column represents one of said themes" reads on "Documents contains theme", and wherein "score" reads on cumulative No. of "X"'s, also see figure 8) and for generating a score for at least a subset of said entries of said matrix (See column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows

Theme Strength), such that a score reflects a relative theme strength between two themes represented by said entry for said documents (See column 6, lines 61-64).

As to claim 8, <u>Wical</u> discloses the system as set forth in claim 7, wherein the knowledge base processing system (See figure 1, search and retrieval system 100) further for generating theme strengths for each theme extracted (See figure 2, 320, also see column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows Theme Strength) for calculating a plurality of products for an entry by multiplying theme strengths (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Total Theme Weight) corresponding to two themes represented by said entry (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows # of Query Terms) for each document (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Document 100) that includes said two themes represented by said entry, and for summing said

Art Unit: 2175

products for an entry to generate said score (See column 11, lines 33-34, and column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Total Score).

As to claim 9, <u>Wical</u> discloses the system as set forth in claim 7, wherein the knowledge base processing system (See figure 1, search and retrieval system 100) further for determining whether only one of said themes exist as a category in said knowledge base (See column 7, lines 38-40, also see column 23, lines 46-48), if so, for generating a new category in said knowledge base for said theme (See column 24, lines 1-4), for generating a new cross-reference relationship between said new category and a category for which one of said themes exist (See column 24, lines 4-6, wherein "category ...exist" reads on "pre-defined categories"), and for generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, List themes in order of strongest theme 450, wherein "new score" reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9).

As to claim 10, <u>Wical</u> discloses the system as set forth in claim 7, wherein the knowledge base processing system further for determining whether both of said themes exist as categories in said knowledge base (See column 23, lines 46-48); if so, for determining whether a cross reference relationship exists from said category pair (See column 17, lines 16-18, also see figure 6); if not, for generating a new cross-reference relationship between said category pair (See column 17, lines 48-49, wherein "new cross-reference relationship" reads on "links is generated through processing documents"), for generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, List themes in order of strongest theme 450, wherein "new score"

Art Unit: 2175

reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9); and if so, for generating a new score for said existing cross-reference relationship (See column 8, lines 58-60, wherein "existing cross-reference" reads on "Common theme and the additional theme").

As to claim 11, <u>Wical</u> discloses a computer readable medium (See figure 11) comprising a plurality of instructions (See column 24, lines 14-15), which when executed, causes the computer to perform the steps of:

extracting, from a plurality of documents, a plurality of themes, wherein a theme identifies subject matter contained in a corresponding document (See figure 2, 300);

generating a plurality of scores (See figure 2, 310) such that each score identifies a relative theme strength (See figure 2, S320, also see column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows

Theme Strength) among theme pairs of said themes extracted from said documents (See column 4, line 6), said theme strength reflects the amount of subject matter contained in a document for a corresponding theme relative to other themes in said document (See column 7, lines 32-34);

selecting theme pairs based on said scores (See column 7, lines 32-34);

selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 4, line 46, and also see column 23, lines 46-48) by mapping said themes of said theme pairs selected to corresponding categories of said knowledge base (See column 4, lines 65-66, also see column 22, lines 49-52); and

generating a cross reference in said knowledge base between categories of said category pairs (See column 16, lines 54-57), wherein said cross reference identifies an association between said category pairs (See column 4, lines 26-28).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 12, <u>Wical</u> discloses the computer readable medium (See figure 11) as set forth in claim 11, wherein the step of generating a plurality of scores (See column 6, lines 32-33) comprising the steps of:

generating a matrix comprising a plurality of columns and rows to form a plurality of entries, wherein each column represents one of said themes and each row represents one of said themes (See figure 3, wherein "column represents one of said themes" reads on "Documents contains theme", and figure 8); and

generating a score for at least a subset of said entries of said matrix (See column 6, lines 32-34), such that a score reflects a relative theme strength between two themes represented by said entry for said documents (See column 7, lines 15-25, Table 1, shows Theme Strength).

As to claim 13, <u>Wical</u> discloses the computer readable medium (See figure 11) as set forth in claim 12, wherein:

the step of extracting a plurality of themes further comprises the step of generating theme strengths for each theme extracted (See column 4, lines 59-62); and

the step of generating a score (See column 6, lines 32-34) for at least a subset of said entries of said matrix (See figure 3, wherein "column represents one of said themes" reads on "Documents contains theme", and wherein "score" reads on cumulative No. of "X"'s, also see figure 8) comprises the steps of:

calculating a plurality of products for an entry by multiplying theme strengths (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Total Theme Weight) corresponding to two themes

Art Unit: 2175

represented by said entry (See column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows # of Query Terms) for each document (See column 11, Table 4, document100) that includes said two themes represented by said entry; and

summing said products for an entry to generate said score (See column 11, lines 33-34, and column 11, lines 5-15, table 4, shows Total Score).

As to claim 14, <u>Wical</u> discloses the computer readable medium (See figure 11) as set forth in claim 11, wherein the step of selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 22, lines 49-52) comprises the steps of:

determining whether only one of said themes exist as a category in said knowledge base (See column 7, lines 38-40, also see column 23, lines 46-48);

if so,

generating a new category in said knowledge base for said theme (See column 24, lines 1-4);

generating a new cross-reference relationship between said new category and a category for which one of said themes exist (See column 24, lines 4-6, wherein "category ... exist" reads on "pre-defined categories"); and

generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, 450, wherein "new score" reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9).

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 15, <u>Wical</u> discloses the computer readable medium (See figure 11) as set forth in claim 11, wherein the step of selecting category pairs in said knowledge base (See column 22, lines 49-52) comprises the steps of:

determining whether both of said themes exist as categories in said knowledge base (See column 23, lines 46-48, also see column 7, lines 38-40);

if so,

determining whether a cross reference relationship exists from said category pair (See column 17, lines 16-18, also see figure 6);

if not,

generating a new cross-reference relationship between said category pair (See column 17, lines 48-49, wherein "new cross-reference relationship" reads on "links is generated through processing documents");

generating a new score for said new cross-reference relationship (See figure 5, 450, wherein "new score" reads on "strongest themes", also see column 9, lines 8-9); and if so, generating a new score for said existing cross-reference relationship (See column 8, lines 58-60, wherein "existing cross-reference" reads on "Common theme and the additional theme").

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hartnett (U.S. Patent No. 6,064,971)

Art Unit: 2175

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 703-305-8114. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Neveen Abel-Jalil September 30, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100